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# Abstract

This report is the summary of my research work as part of Master of Engineering in Autonomous Systems and Robotics ( [TASP](http://tasp.technion.ac.il/) ).

In this research, I am investigating the stability of payload carried by a 2 quadrotors ‘array’, under certain conditions. This case is in interest because of possible payload delivery mission required by companies such ‘Amazon’ and others, to deliver a relatively big-size and heavy payload – a mission that is not always possible for 1 quadrotor alone.

I’ll show the model equations of motion, analytical investigation and a numerical investigation results for comparison.
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# Introduction

There is a growing amount of interest in the controlled autonomous behavior of collectively operating unmanned aerial vehicles. An example is an array of autonomous quadrotors which are under consideration for a variety of missions including surveillance [Acevedo et al., 2012], heavy payload delivery [Bernard et al, 2011], and assembly of structures [Kumar and Michael, 2012]. While there is an abundance of documentation of multi-agent behavior of very large groups (or swarms) such as flocks of birds and schools of fish which can quickly adapt to a complex terrain [Shklarsh et al, 2011] or environmental conditions [Elor and Bruckstein, 2011; Agmon et al, 2011], and an uncertain and changing environment in nature [Young et al, 2013], there is limited research on small size arrays of autonomous elements that continue to maneuver collectively under severe environmental conditions.

Documented research on single quadrotor dynamics, stability and control consists of rigid-body dynamical systems models augmented by angular rotor dynamics [Leishman 2006]. Investigations include nonlinear control for take-off, hovering and landing [Kendoul et al, 2007] and to overcome path following uncertainties [Raffo et al, 2010] and disturbances [Schoellig et al., 2012]. Control of aggressive maneuvers such as flying through a narrow gap [Mellinger et al, 2012] have been implemented, and investigations include robustness analysis applied to wind gusts [Alexis et al, 2011; Escareno et al, 2013]. Recently, control of cable suspended payload has been proposed [Sreenath et al, 2013].

Evasive steering for collision avoidance is essential in both swarms [Ribak et al, 2012] and arrays of unmanned aerial and space vehicles [Mazal and Gurfil, 2013]. However, to-date the focus of research on collective behavior of multiple quadrotors has been on indoor operation in a variety of arenas [Hoffman et al, 2011; Kumar 2012; D’Andrea 2013]. A multiple quadrotor model has been recently proposed and investigated numerically to yield trajectories for a three element array carrying a payload [Sreenath et al, 2012]. An additional example is that of a multi-agent distributed flight array which incorporated multiple modular single-rotor aerial vehicles capable of autonomous assembly (docking) and coordinated flight, modeled as hybrid dynamical system was simulated numerically and experimentally [Oung and D’Andrea, 2011].

Motivated by simulation studies of decision making in animal groups in motion, the stability of multiagent particle dynamical systems models have been analyzed to reveal cohesive behavior [Liu and Passino, 2005] and separation of fast and slow time scales reflecting a local bifurcation structure indicative of a compromise by individual elements with conflicting preferences [Nabet et al., 2009]. Symmetrical and asymmetrical bifurcations have been shown in a swarm robotics test bed [Garnier et al, 2013] and a noise intensity threshold was shown to govern swarm transition from a misaligned state into an aligned state [Mier et al, 2012]. Furthermore, nonlinear multi-agent swarm models exhibit existence of periodic limit-cycles culminating with non-stationary chaotic solutions [Das et al, 2012], and stochastic bifurcations [Ebeling and Schimansky-Geier, 2008].

In the light of the current scientific background the behavior in severe environmental conditions is yet unresolved. Thus, our general aim is to derive a consistent dynamical systems model for a small size array of quadrotors which can withstand severe and unsteady aerodynamic disturbances. We propose to investigate the nonlinear array dynamics asymptotically and numerically, culminating with a system bifurcation structure highlighting orbital stability thresholds. The significance of research is thus twofold: i) the proposed combined analytical and numerical methodologies will enable identification of instabilities for both single and a small size quadrotor array in severe conditions, and ii) construction of a nonlinear stabilization strategy for a small size array will yield conditions for synchronous operation that can autonomously withstand unsteady aerodynamic conditions. This combined approach is original and is anticipated to bridge the gap between documented indoor operation and large time-dependent perturbations expected in a changing non-stationary environment.

The paper includes : i) derivation of a theoretical nonlinear dynamical systems model for a single quadrotor in unsteady conditions [Leishamn, 2006] including model-based estimation of the corresponding nonlinear system properties [Gottlieb and Habib 2012]. Ii) The single element model will then be extended to a small size array incorporating selected constraints including adaptive maneuvering and collision avoidance, iii) the dynamical systems model for the quadrotor array will be investigated via the asymptotic multiple-scales method [Kevorkian and Cole 1995] to yield stability thresholds for synchronous and non-stationary dynamics [Gutschmidt and Gottlieb, 2012], iv) numerical orbital stability analysis of the dynamical system will be employed to validate the asymptotic stability thresholds [Nayfeh and Balachandran, 2005; Aginsky and Gottlieb, 2012], v) stabilization of system response subject to severe conditions [Nijmeijer and van der Schaft 2010].
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In this paper, I will describe the dynamical system of 2 Quad-Rotor (aka quad) units, utilizing a common payload.

The problem formulation assumes 2D framework. The more general 3D case is not treated here.

The quads motion is treated as system inputs, and not discussed here by itself. I will discuss the payloads’ dynamics and stability.

The investigation work flow will be:

1. The dynamic equations of the quads and payload will be described, and some limiting cases will be shown to verify the model.
   1. Coordinates definition in inertial frame
   2. Lagrangian term composition
   3. Deriving the equations of motion without non-conservative forces
   4. Verify result with limiting cases of:
      1. Elastic pendulum
   5. Find natural frequency, from equilibrium state
   6. Referring to non-conservative forces (and moments)
   7. Move to non-dimensional terms (by length and time scales)
   8. Define the treated maneuver in the problem (hover, translation of payload from points A to B)
2. Characterize the problem with certain parameters. Such as (, , ) and initial conditions and maneuvers ()
3. The next step in this work will be to analyze the equations by Multiple Scales method or Averaging method.

# Nomenclature

i : index for object {1,2,p} regarding: quad #1, quad #2, Payload, or: cable #1, cable #2.

: returning force constant of the linear spring i

: free spring length (not loaded)

: current length of the loaded spring

: mass of object i

: location of the i’th mass center, in inertial coor.system

: rotation angle around axis, of the rigid body payload, relative to the Inertial frame.

: geometric length of the payload rigid body

: geometric height of the payload rigid body

: rotation matrix of payload relative to Inertial coordinate frame

: rotation matrix from Inertial to payload coordinate frame

: moment of inertia , around axis , for object i

L : Lagrangian of the system

T : kinetic energy

V : potential energy

# The system dynamics

The examined system is composed of 2 units of quadrotors, and 1 payload which is connected to each of the quadrotors. And by that it is connecting between the 2 quads.

The system is described in the 2D world.

**The used assumptions for the system analysis are:**

Quadrotor:

1. Quad body and parts are **rigid**. *No* elasticity is considered.
2. Geometry structure is **symmetrical** in relation to the principal axes. And the mass distribution is **uniform**. Hence the Inertia matrix is taken as pure diagonal.
3. quads resultant motion is given!

Payload & cable construction:

1. The ‘cable’ which the payload is connected to is modeled as straight spring, with initial length , and has no mass.
2. The cable is connected to the quadrotor exactly in its center of mass (C.G).
3. **No friction** nor moments are present in the spring connection points.
4. The payload is a rectangular box, characterized with as it’s inertia metrix.
5. Possible spring dumping might be considered in the follow up work. (it might be added as non-conservative force)
6. Aerodynamic forces (lift and drag) on the payload – can be addressed in the non-conservative forces.

**Coordinate systems , State variables, and Rotation matrices**

I – inertial coordinates frame. It is the global reference point for the problem.

Its’ axes are :

P – Payload coordinate frame. The origin is located at the C.G of that rigid body.

The total general coordinates are:

(1)

Which refers to 9 D.O.F system.

We limit ourselves to because this is a ‘Research project’.

Meaning the problem we deal with is only 3 D.O.F.

The problem geometry

Schematics of the system, in accordance with the nomenclature listed above:



Figure 2

Where

The Lagrangian of the system is:



Where

The Lagrange equations, without non-conservative forces, using (2) and the knowledge that V is not dependent on for mechanical systems:



(5a)

(5b)

(5c)

Where the inner terms are:

(6)

Those equations of motion are of the form , is non-linear.

Rearranging some more, we can write the equations as :

**(7)**

While the additional simplifications are :

(8)

## Test for limiting case

Limiting case test of elastic pendulum is shown in Appendix 1.

## Non-dimensional equations

Using the next conversions:

(9) , or for any other of the lengths variables

And

, where

From (7) we get:

(10)

(now all variables are non-dimensional variables. For simplicity – the notation is not changed.)

Further setup brings:

**(11)**

While noting 3 more non-dimensional terms :

(12) ; ; ; ;

## Equilibrium check

This is the time where all state variables derivatives are zeroed. And especially relevant here:

Which gives

(13)

And we can extract the 3 variables from those 3 equations:

(14)

Example:

Let’s say (a symmetrical case):

I’ll expect .

~~But it’s hard to verify it analytically from (13).~~

## Non-conservative general forces of the problem can be

1. Aerodynamic lift and drag = function of payload velocity and orientation relative to the surrounding air.
2. Dumping force in parallel to the spring tension force. Can be described according to (Kelvin-Voigt) model.

## Treated maneuvers in the problem

1. hover
2. translation of payload from points A to B, in a straight line. With equal or different quads heights.

Trajectory can be described for example as:



(\*)

# equilibrium analysis

\*hover with wind force on payload vs specified motion

# 4 asymptotic analysis

\*for selected limiting cases that reveal a Hopf bifurcation and/or an orbital instability

# 5 numerical analysis

\*for asymptotic validation vs general maneuver

# 6 discussion

# Summary

I described the 2D dynamics of system of 2 quadrotors and 1 connected rigid body payload.

I verified against limiting cases of:

1. elastic pendulum

Non-dimensional equations were submitted.
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## Appendix 1 –Limiting case dynamics – elastic pendulum

Reminding about the full problem equations of motion, from (7):

When looking on elastic pendulum for lumped mass, we can assume:

1. for the lumped mass (hence doesn’t matter any more)
2. for the connection, only to the first base, and not the 2nd one
3. Arbitrarily I will assume which means also the 1st base is static

The equations of motion become:



Finding the equilibrium point - we set the derivatives to 0 () :



( if considering the non-dimensional variables, as described in the sections above, we can write )

The 1st option is the relevant one, for the considered state of .

We can also note that if considering : , which fits to a problem of a simple pendulum, hanged on a rod and not a spring.

assumption is yp>0 fits to , so otherwise it means the spring is to small and weak.

assumption is yp<0 fits to

### Linearization around the equilibrium point

Get dimensionless variables by the relations:

The non-dimensional equations are:



**1st order linearization** is :

*Near equilibrium*:

Testing for the 1st equilibrium point of:

1. ;
2. setting :

The equations are written as:

(10a)

(10b)

* Using (9) and , for the 1st equib. point, neglecting small terms such as :

* Using the relation from equilibrium above to eliminate o(1) terms



It is equivalent to the matrix notation :



Where :

Where we know to find the natural frequencies by the requirement of:



It is an equation of 4th order for , the relevant solutions are:



, where **expecting**

If reformatting the above to it is equivalent to the natural frequency of simple pendulum with a constant length of !

*Extra Limiting cases:*

When it affects we get and which is similar to

Stability

From other references in literature we can note that (15) is relevant to a stable dynamic behavior.